

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 838 of 1985

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE

and

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

SARVAIYA BAHADURSINH FATEHSINH

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR SI NANAVATI for Petitioner

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.D.DAVE and

MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 07/08/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT(Per S.D. Dave J.)

We have heard learned counsel Mr. S.A. Mehta
for the learned counsel Mr.S.I. Nanavati for the
petitioner and learned government counsel Mr. K.M.

Mehta. Upon hearing the learned counsels it appears that looking to the challenge posed in the petition and looking to the passage of time, the present proceedings have become infructuous, and they require to be disposed of as such. We order accordingly. The present petition stands disposed. Rule shall stand discharged with no order as to costs.

It appears that while admitting the petition it has been directed that the the Deputy Conservator of Forests, Rajpipla should hold the necessary inquiry in the matter. The ultimate orders passed by the said authority shall not be affected by the disposal of the present petition.

07.08.1997 [S.D. DAVE J.]

[Y.B. BHATT J.]